

Pay for Performance Systems: Individual Programs

There are two conceptually different types of pay for performance systems.

Visit our website for past newsletters, clients in your area and other items of interest, or to e-mail us a question.

www.foxlawson.com



In the past three years, pay for performance systems have exploded in popularity among public sector organizations. Performance evaluation systems have been used in most of the private sector companies for a long time. There is even research which suggests that if a company has a performance evaluation system in place, their performance is better (as measured by profits and related financial measures) than those companies that don't have such systems in place. Since government managers want to be able to reward top performers, and in light of the evidence from the private sector, doesn't it stand to reason that pay for performance systems in the public sector would work to motivate government employees? (We will answer that in a later newsletter.) First, it is important to recognize the variety of options available to governments when they want to install or revise a pay for performance system for their employees.

There are two conceptually different types of pay for performance systems. There are individual programs and group programs. Each focuses on a different type of behavior and thus each has varying degrees of success.

The key to individual performance based programs is that they focus specifically on the individual. (We didn't say this was rocket science!)

The assumption underlying these programs is that an individual can control their own behavior, and given some feedback about the behavior that is good and that which needs improvement, the employee will choose to improve. Typically, individual performance based systems involve one individual, usually a supervisor, evaluating (i.e., judging) the performance of another individual. If there is enough behavior that meets their approval, the employee is rewarded. Hopefully, the employee will be grateful and decide, like a good lab rat, to be rewarded again. If it works, everybody is happy and productivity, quality or what have you is up. Among individual performance based systems are the following:

- * performance evaluations
- * skill based systems
- * competency based systems
- * recognition
- * spot awards
- * suggestion programs
- * promotion

Among systems in the public sector, recognition and suggestion based systems are the most popular according to a survey that our firm conducted in 1996 among government organizations. Unfortunately, they are among the systems that are the least effective and frequently fall into misuse or disuse. Skill based and competency

**Fox Lawson
& Associates LLC solves
strategic compensation
and human resources
issues of organizations
experiencing significant
change.**

**We assist organizations
to align their
compensation, benefits
and human resources
systems to their
organization objectives.**

**We have solutions for
people who pay people.**



FOX LAWSON & ASSOCIATES LLC
COMPENSATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES SPECIALISTS

Offices:
1335 County Road D. Circle East
St. Paul, Minnesota 55109-5260

Phone: 800-383-0976
Fax: 651-635-0980
E-mail: jfox@foxlawson.com

P. O. Box 32985
Phoenix, Arizona 85064-2985

Phone: 602-840-1070
Fax: 602-840-1071
E-mail: blawson@foxlawson.com

based programs are less popular but gaining some frequency of use. They are most popular among trades, police and fire and clerical. Some of the larger public sector unions (AFSCME) have found them to be acceptable if developed jointly and managed fairly. Finally, spot awards are used in just a handful of organizations and usually for only a specific event, such as completion of a special project on tight time lines.

Performance evaluation systems, in our opinion are experiencing a renewal of interest, but will probably have a tough time in the long run because of the inherent subjectivity involved. When pay is tied to the results, the systems pit one employee against another for a small and finite pool of money. In fact, with today's payroll increases averaging somewhere in the 3-4% range, even if an employee is exceptional, the amount of money tied to such performance amounts to about \$20 per month. This is earned at the expense of someone who must be judged as less than acceptable for the math to work out right.

It's typically seen as a win/lose situation: I win/you lose; or you win/I lose. Most employees view these types of programs with suspicion and will likely argue against them.

Next time:
Group pay for performance programs.

Current Consulting Projects

City of Hamilton, Ohio:

We have been asked to help the City develop a pay for performance based system for all employees. We worked with focus groups of employees to identify the process, forms and criteria on which to evaluate their performance.

Santa Rosa County, Florida:

We have conducted a salary survey to update their salary program. Their salary schedule is a market based salary program, updated every three years.

City of Ann Arbor, Michigan:

We have been hired to conduct a total overhaul of their classification and compensation system to emphasize a broader class concept and a pay for performance model.

Greene County, Ohio:

We are currently redesigning the classification and compensation program for this county using of occupational experts to design the class structure.

Kyrene Schools, Arizona:

We are developing a classification and compensation system for this large school district.